

## ANNEX A—Continued

- 659-H Only HTS numbers 6502.00.9030, 6504.00.9015, 6504.00.9060, 6505.90.5090, 6505.90.6090, 6505.90.7090 and 6505.90.8090.
- 659-S Only HTS numbers 6112.31.0010, 6112.31.0020, 6112.41.0010, 6112.41.0020, 6112.41.0030, 6112.41.0040, 6211.11.1010, 6211.11.1020, 6211.12.1010 and 6211.12.1020.
- 659-O All HTS numbers in Category 659 except 659-C, 659-H, and 659-S.
- 669-P Only HTS numbers 6305.31.0010, 6305.31.0020 and 6305.39.0000.
- 669-O All HTS numbers in Category 669 except 669-P.
- 670-L Only HTS numbers 4202.12.8030, 4202.12.8070, 4202.92.3020, 4202.92.3030 and 4202.92.9025.
- 670-O All HTS numbers in Category 670 except 670-L.
- 863-S Only HTS number 6307.10.2015.
- 863-O All HTS numbers in Category 863 except 863-S.

## Merged Categories

300/301  
317/326  
338/339  
338-S/339-S  
347/348  
445/446  
638/639  
644/844  
645/646

[FR Doc. 95-11259 Filed 5-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

## COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

## Notice of Delegation of Authority

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Commodity Futures Trading Commission has delegated, on an interim basis, certain authority to the Program Coordinator of the Division of Enforcement.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Susan R. Cornell, Attorney, Division of Enforcement, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20581. Telephone: (202) 254-7424.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the Commodity Futures Trading Commission has delegated to the Program Coordinator of the Division of Enforcement all of the authority which has been delegated to the Director of the Division of Enforcement, including but not limited to the authority to conduct investigations as set forth in Part 11—Rules Relating to Investigations, 17 CFR part 11, and all other delegations set forth in that Part, and the authority set forth in Part 140—Organization,

Functions and Procedures of the Commission, 17 CFR part 140. This delegation shall be in effect only until such time as a Director of the Division of Enforcement is appointed and assumes office. (7 U.S.C. 4a, 9, 15, 12, and 12a(5)).

Issued in Washington, D.C. on May 2, 1995, by the Commission.

**Jean A. Webb,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 95-11172 Filed 5-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

## Department of the Army

**Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) and Environmental Assessment (EA) for Realignment of Five Business Areas From Fort Belvoir, Virginia, to Detroit Arsenal, Michigan**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Finding of no significant impact.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed action analyzed by this document is the realignment of the following business areas: a) Supply Distribution, b) Bridging, c) Mechanical Counter Mobility, d) Water Purification, and e) Fuels and Lubricants to the Detroit Arsenal, Michigan, as required by the Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-510).

The 1993 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission recommended certain realignment and closure actions for military installations on July 1, 1993 (BRAC 93), including the realignment of a portion of the Belvoir Research, Development and Engineering Center (BRDEC), Fort Belvoir, Virginia, to the Tank-Automotive Research, Development and Engineering Center (TARDEC), Detroit Arsenal, Michigan. Recommended actions were approved by the President of the United States and subsequent review by the United States Congress did not alter any of the BRAC 93 recommendations.

The purpose of the EA is to identify and evaluate the anticipated effects of the realignment of these five business areas upon the receiving location. Because the recommended realignment is a statutory requirement and must be implemented, No Action, or not implementing the proposed action is not currently a viable alternative. The Army is required to move and consolidate these activities to the maximum extent possible. This mandate does not allow the Army to seek off-post sites as options for consolidation of activities.

Sufficient office space is available in existing Building 201 which is currently undergoing renovation to accommodate the office functions of the realigned Supply Distribution, and Bridging business areas, however, existing on-post laboratory facilities are either occupied or not configured to allow efficient use by the Mechanical Counter Mobility, Water Purification, and Fuels and Lubricants business areas. There are no feasible alternatives to the following construction activities proposed to execute this BRAC 93 action.

The EA studied in detail two possible alternatives: (a) Preferred construction site and (b) an alternative construction site. Under the Preferred Construction Site Alternative the Fuels and Lubricants, Water Purification and Mechanical Counter Mobility business areas would be accommodated on Detroit Arsenal in a new 38,000 square foot facility. This facility would be built between and connect two existing buildings (Buildings 212 and 215) in the north-central portion of Detroit Arsenal. The building location was selected on the basis of its proximity to existing facilities and its compatibility with current utilization.

Under the Alternative Construction Site the Fuels and Lubricants, Water Purification and Mechanical Counter Mobility business areas would be accommodated at the Detroit Arsenal in a new 40,000 square foot facility. This facility would be a free standing structure built over approximately one acre of undeveloped land just west of Building 215 in the north-central sector of the Detroit Arsenal. This site is currently used as a softball field.

Regarding the preferred construction site alternative, no significant impacts were identified. Potential for only minor, insignificant impacts are anticipated regarding: Air Quality, soils, and groundwater. Building 212 has been recommended as being eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. Proposed construction plans for the new connecting building will be coordinated with the Michigan State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) prior to undertaking any construction activities. If necessary, a Memorandum of Agreement detailing the actions the Army will take to avoid or mitigate for any adverse effects to National Register properties will be concluded among the Army, the Michigan SHPO, and the Advisory Council.

Regarding the Alternative Construction Site, no significant impacts were identified. Potential for only minor, insignificant, impacts are anticipated regarding: land use, air quality, soils, surface drainage, and